

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,785	07/19/2004	Stanley Marcus	7984/84087	6815
	7590 10/09/2007 TARIN & FLANNERY		EXAM	INER
FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY P. O. BOX 18415			REIS, TRAVIS M	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2859	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/501,785	MARCUS, STANLEY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Travis M. Reis	2859
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040719.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/501,785

Art Unit: 2859


DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-9, & 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pfeiffer (U.S. Patent 2350187).

Pfeiffer discloses an apparatus and method of using for repelling animals from an area which includes a control unit (6) for applying a source of compressed air, a network (5) of elongated flexible tubes (4) each with an inlet which are connected to the source of compressed air, and outlet nozzles (X, see below)



through which compressed air escapes from the flexible tubes to cause a reaction force which causes substantially random movement of the tubes within the area (page 1, left col. line 26); wherein the tubes, and a time interval between successive periods during which the tubes are caused to move, are for periods which are variable (page 1, left col. lines 36-40).

3. Claims 7-9, 11, & 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gazit et al. (U.S. Patent 6186857).

Gazit et al. discloses an apparatus including a source of compressed air (130), a network (140) of elongated flexible tubes(148) each with an inlet (150) which are connected to the source of compressed air (Figure 7), and outlet nozzles (i.e. as correspondingly referenced as

Art Unit: 2859

(48b) in Figure 1) through which compressed air escapes from the flexible tubes to cause a reaction force which causes movement of the tubes within the area (col. 2 lines 27-34); wherein the tube is elevated upon application of the compressed air (Figures 3-6) to the inlet and is allowed to settle to an inoperative position when the compressed air is not applied to the inlet (Figure 2).

With respect to the preamble of the claims 7-9, 11, & 12: the preamble of the claim does not provide enough patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self – contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pfeiffer in view of Tilton (U.S. Patent 4185581).

Pfeiffer discloses all of the instant claimed invention as stated above in the rejection of claims 1-4, 7-9, & 12, but does not disclose water entrained in the compressed air.

Tilton discloses a weight responsive spray bird-scare perch (10) which uses water (38) and air (44) to spray birds landing on the apparatus in order to scare, irritate, and distress said birds without causing permanent harm (col. 3 lines 1-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add the water disclosed by Tilton to the network disclosed by Pfeiffer in order to scare, irritate, and distress

Application/Control Number: 10/501,785

Art Unit: 2859

birds without causing permanent harm.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gazit et al. in view of Chen (U.S. Patent 6422915).

Gazit et al. discloses all of the instant claimed invention as stated above in the rejection of claims 7-9, 11, & 12, but do not disclose the tube is caused to rotate around a fixed axis.

Chen discloses a dynamic collapsible revolving toy (Figure 1) which utilizes a driving motor (30) to turn the tube sets (50, 60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add the rotating means disclosed by Chen to the source of compressed air, thereby causing the tubes to rotate around a fixed axis, in order to allow the figure to be seen from all angles.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Clotworthy discloses an automobile signal (U.S. Patent 1448728). Gardner et al. discloses a display (U.S. Patent 2348250). DeFreitas discloses a bird-scare cannon (U.S. Patent 3633560). Melin et al. discloses a water play toy (U.S. Patent 4235378). Konzak discloses bird scaring devices (U.S. Patents 4598660 & 4573427). O'Rourke discloses a recreational water sprinkler (U.S. Patent 6050501). Gill et al. discloses a fan advertising device (U.S. Patent 6279254). Saviano et al. discloses a flexible structural barrier (U.S. Patent 6435127).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Travis M. Reis whose telephone number is (571) 272-2249. The examiner can normally be reached on 8--5 M--F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/501,785

Art Unit: 2859

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Travis M Reis Examiner Art Unit 2859

tmr September 27, 2007 RICHARD SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

4. 55 44